PATENT COOPERATION TREADY 28 OCT 2004

MIPO

rom the NTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUT	THORITY	WIFO		
To: see form PCT/ISA/220		WRITTEN OPINION OF THE 30 INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43 bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)		
Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/ISA/220		FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below		
International application No. International filing date PCT/B2004/051123 05.07.2004		lay/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 11.07.2003	
International Patent Classification (IPC) G09G3/34	or both national classification	and IPC		

1.	This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:		
	☑ Box No. I	Basis of the opinion Priority	
	☐ Box No. III ☐ Box No. IV	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Lack of unity of invention	
	⊠ Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement	
	☐ Box No. VI	Certain documents cited	
	☐ Box No. VII	Certain defects in the International application	
	☐ Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application	
2.	FURTHER ACTION		

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

KONINKLIJKE PHILIPS ELECTRONICS N.V.

For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA:

Authorized Officer

Applicant

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Kunze, H

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-6025



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IB2004/051123

	Во	x No	o. I B	asis of the opinion			
1.	With regard to the language , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was field, unless otherwise indicated under this item.						
		lar	nguage	on has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search iles 12.3 and 23.1(b)).			
2.	Wit	th regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and cessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:					
	a. type of material:						
			a sequ	ence listing			
			table(s	e) related to the sequence listing			
	b. f	b. format of material:					
			in writt	en format			
			in com	puter readable form			
	c. t	time of filing/furnishing:					
			contair	ned in the international application as filed.			
			filed to	gether with the international application in computer readable form.			
			furnish	ed subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.			
3.		ha co	s been pies is i	n, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as te, were furnished.			
4.	Additional comments:						

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IB2004/051123

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43*bls*.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-11

No: Claims

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims No: Claims

/ 1-6, 8-11

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-11

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

- 1. Reference is made to the following documents:
 - D1: EP-A-1 286 326 (SEIKO EPSON CORP) 26 February 2003 (2003-02-26)
 - D2: US-A-5 912 712 (DOHERTY DONALD B) 15 June 1999 (1999-06-15)
 - D3: US 2003/010894 A1 (BETSUI KEIICHI ET AL) 16 January 2003 (2003-01-16)
 - D4: WO 03/038512 A (SIPIX IMAGING INC) 8 May 2003 (2003-05-08)
 - D5: ROBERT ZEHNER 1 ET AL: "20.2: Drive Waveforms for Active Matrix Electrophoretic Displays" SID, vol. XXXIV, 23 May 2003 (2003-05-23), pages 842-845, XP007008253

2. Lack of inventive step

The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claims 1-6 and 8-11 does not involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.

2.1 The following features of **claim 1** are known in combination from the closest prior art document D1 (the references in parentheses applying to this document):

An electrophoretic display unit (par. 1 and the display device 1 in Fig. 2) comprising:

- an electrophoretic display panel (the pixel unit 2A in Figs. 2 and 5) comprising lines (directly apparent from Fig. 5) with pixels (par. 44 and directly apparent from Fig. 5);
- a line driver (pars. 36/44, the circuits 2B/66-69 in Figs. 2/5) for driving the lines;
- a controller (par. 35, the controller 4 in Fig. 2) for supplying a line driving signal having a timing parameter to the line driver (pars. 48, 49, 52 and Fig. 2).
- 2.2 The subject-matter of claim 1 differs from the device according to D1 in that the controller is adapted to vary a timing parameter for varying the frame rate of the display, whereas in D1 the frame rate is fixed (par. 48).
- 2.3 Hereby the following object is addressed: To allow the use of the display in a system that receives display data with varying frame rates (cf. D2, c. 1, l. 11-15).

- 2.4 However, the use of display controller being able to handle a range of frame rates (cf. D2, the abstract and c. 1, l. 11-15 and 34-46) is known to offer the advantage to allow the use of a display in a system that receives display data with varying frame rates (cf. D2, c. 1, l. 11-15). It would therefore be obvious to the person skilled in the art, to apply a display controller according to document D2 to an electrophoretic display unit according to D1, thus arriving at an electrophoretic display unit according to claim 1. Consequently, the subject-matter of claim 1 does not involve an inventive step.
- 2.5 Furthermore, the document D3 discloses a display device and teaches (par. 8 and 48-50) how to reduce colour break without considerably changing the power consumption by using a display controller adapted to vary a timing parameter for varying the frame rate of the display. The skilled person would consider applying this teaching to an electrophoretic display unit according to D1, thus arriving at an electrophoretic display unit according to claim 1. Consequently, also a combination of the documents D1 and D3 renders the subject-matter of claim 1 obvious.
- 2.6 The independent method **claim 9** defines the method which corresponds to the apparatus claim 1. The application of a device according to claim 1 which is rendered obvious by a combination of D1 and either of D2 or D3 implies the steps of the method according to claim 9. Consequently, the features of claim 9 do not involve an inventive step either.
- 2.7 Independent **claim 10** defines a computer program product for executing the steps of the method of claim 9. However, the subject-matter of claim 9 does not involve an inventive step because it is common practice to store the steps of an obvious method as a computer program product.
- 2.8 Independent claim 11 defines a display controller which is already defined in the display unit of claim 1. Consequently, the scope of claim 11 is broader than the scope of claim 1 and thus the subject-matter of claim 11 does not involve an inventive step for the reasons given in items 2.1 2.5 above.
- 2.9 Also the features of the dependent claims 2-6 and 8 are rendered obvious by a combination of document D1 with either of D2 or D3.

AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

- 2.10 Claim 2: The timing parameter is formed by a delay of a start of the line driving signal: Cf. D2, c. 6, l. 4-14, dropping a clock count results in a delay of the timing signal; or cf. D3, par. 58, adjustment of the timing results in a delay of the timing signal.
- 2.11 Claim 3: The timing parameter is formed by a duration of a line driving signal of a line: Cf. D3, par. 54, the control signal CS.
- 2.12 Claim 4: The timing parameter corresponds with a product of a predefined time-interval and a step value defined by a number of bits: Cf. D2, c. 3, l. 66 c. 4, l. 9.
- 2.13 Claim 5: A line corresponds with a row: Cf. D1, directly apparent from Fig. 5.
- 2.14 **Claim 6**: A memory is coupled to or incorporated in the controller for storing information about the timing parameter: Cf. D2, c. 5, l. 48-58, the PROM.
- 2.15 Claim 8: A display device comprising an electrophoretic display unit as claimed in claim 1 and a storage medium for storing information to be displayed: Cf. D1, the memory 6 in Fig. 2 and par. 49.
- 3. Claims fulfilling the requirements of novelty and inventive step
 Claim 7 fulfills the requirements of novelty and inventive step, Art 33(2) and (3)
 PCT for the following reasons:
- 3.1 The closest prior art according to D1 shows an electrophoretic display unit working with a fixed frame rate and fixed timing of the signals on the electrodes.
- 3.2 The object of the present invention is to reduce optical disturbance from preset data pulses and to increase the number of number gray levels of an electrophoretic display.
- 3.3 This is achieved according to **claim 7** by the provision of an electrophoretic display comprising a display controller delivering driving signals with a variable frame rate, whereby the row driving signals are divided into three parts, each part having a certain purpose in driving the display and each part starting with a fixed or variable delay relative to a frame start.

PCT/IB2004/051123

- 3.4 The provision of the above measure (cf. part 3.3) is not known or rendered obvious by the available prior art. In particular, D1 shows a display unit wherein the timings of the driving signals are fixed, D2 shows a display controller for adapting the frame rate of the display to the frame rate of a video input signal, D3 shows an LC display with a controller which adapts the frame rate to the properties of the input signal and to the temperature, D4 and D5 show electrophoretic displays with fixed timings and fixed frame rates.
 - Hence, claim 7 fulfills the requirements of novelty and inventive step, Art. 33(2) and (3) PCT.
- 4. The industrial applicability is given in an obvious manner for the subject-matter of all claims, Art 33(4) PCT.